

國立臺灣大學國家發展研究所 101 學年度第 2 學期課程綱要

課程資訊				
課程名稱	(中) 氣候變遷與永續發展 (英) Climate change and sustainable development			
課程編號	341 U8960	班次	學分數	2
全/半年	半		必/選修	必選
授課教師	周桂田		開課系所	國家發展研究所
上課時間	星期一 78 節		修課人數	15
上課地點	國發 210		課程加選方式	1
課程網頁				
課程大綱				
課程目標	本課程將同時著重理論與全球永續發展的實質國際公約、進程批判，加以深入討論。這門課藉研究所同學小型的分組經驗研究，讓學生發掘、體會理論與生活經驗的銜接點，進而激發與建構其環境永續的想像。修課學生除研讀指定文獻外，透過小型分組的實際討論與報告，來簡證或深化相關概念。			
課程概述	全球生態與環境變遷，已成為當今人類面對的急迫問題，本課程將設計從對工業現代社會的批判與轉型，思考與討論全球永續發展(global sustainable development)的論述、政治與實踐之現實意義。  生態現代化與永續發展的論述，雖喊的震天響，但從其形成成為全球共識到全球各國實際執行過程，皆有相對的全球政治或社會結構問題，因此，本課程除一方面介紹全球永續發展議題的實質內涵，另一方面重要的是將從環境問題的全球化擴張，討論其實踐的政治經濟問題，包括全球經濟、科技競爭的發展，是否僅僅將永續發展當為另類遊戲(alternative global game)的口號。			
關鍵字	全球化風險、氣候變遷、風險治理、風險溝通、風險評估、永續發展			
課程要求	每一組每週針對兩篇文章（巨觀與政策）進行（一）詳細的大綱，（二）簡要的報告（報告時間三十分鐘），（三）每週星期六 am10:00 交到課程網站。  每位修課同學每週就政策面(energy law and environment)進行與台灣的相關制度、問題、資料比較，（一）該章內容reflection，（二）國際與台灣reflection，（三）每週星期一pm9:30 傳到上課網站。			
Office Hours	星期三下午三點			

参考書目	<p>Weale, Albert 1998 The Politics of Ecological Modernization, in: Dryzek, John S. &amp; Schlosberg, David (ed.) <i>Debating the Earth – the environmental Politics Reader</i>, p. 301-318.</p> <p>Patricia D. Park 2002 The relationship between energy, the environmental imperatives and scientific drivers, in <i>Energy Law and the Environment</i>. London: Taylor &amp; Francis, p.1-9</p> <p>Hajer, Maarten A. 1995 Ecological Modernization: Discourse and Institutional Change, in: The Politics of Environmental Discourse – Ecological Modernization and the Policy Process, p. 265-294.</p> <p>Patricia D. Park 2002 Regulation, energy resources and the environment, in <i>Energy Law and the Environment</i>. London: Taylor &amp; Francis, p.10-32</p> <p>Dryzek, John S. 1997 Industrial Society and Beyond: Ecological Modernization, in: The Politics of the Earth – Environmental Discourses, Oxford University Press, p.137-152.</p> <p>Patricia D. Park 2002 The regulatory bodies, in <i>Energy Law and the Environment</i>. London: Taylor &amp; Francis, p.33-48</p> <p>Lafferty, William M. 1998 The Politics of Sustainable Development: global norms for national implementation, in: Dryzek, John S. &amp; Schlosberg, David (ed.) <i>Debating the Earth – the environmental Politics Reader</i>, p. 265-284.</p> <p>Patricia D. Park 2002 The international dimension, in <i>Energy Law and the Environment</i>. London: Taylor &amp; Francis, p.49-66</p> <p>Sage, Colin 1994 Population, Consumption and Sustainable Development, in: Redclift &amp; Colin (ed.) <i>Strategies for Sustainable Development</i>, John Wiley &amp; Sons, 35-60.</p> <p>Patricia D. Park 2002 European energy law and policy, in <i>Energy Law and the Environment</i>. London: Taylor &amp; Francis, p.67-89</p> <p>Shove, Elizabeth 1994 Sustaining Developments in Environmental Sociology, in: Redclift, Michal &amp; Benton, Ted (ed.) <i>Social Theory and the Global Environment</i>, p.256-266.</p> <p>Yearley, Steven 1996 Environmental Issues and the Compression of the Globe, in: Sociology, Environment, Globalization – Reinventing the Globe, Sage, p. 26-61.</p> <p>Patricia D. Park 2002 Trade, competition and the environment, in <i>Energy Law and the Environment</i>. London: Taylor &amp; Francis, p.90-99</p> <p>Yearley, Steven 1996 How do the World's Environmental Problems come to be “Global”?, in: Sociology, Environment, Globalization – Reinventing the Globe, Sage, p. 62-99.</p> <p>Patricia D. Park 2002 The regulation of the coal industry, in <i>Energy Law and the Environment</i>.</p>
------	--

- Environment*. London: Taylor & Francis, p.100-115
- Redclift, Michale 1994 Global resource problems, in: Development and the Environmental Crisis – Red or Green Alternatives?, p. 20-38.
- Yearley, Steven 1996 Universalizing discourses and globalization, in: Sociology, Environment, Globalization – Reinventing the Globe, Sage, p. 100-141 .
- Patricia D. Park 2002 Environmental protection and onshore oil and gas, in *Energy Law and the Environment*. London: Taylor & Francis, p.116-132
- 柳中明 1998〈全球大氣變遷問題檢討與政策〉，歐陽喬暉/黃勉善著，新世紀的環境保護政策，台北：厚生基金會，頁 3-38。
- Redclift, Michale 1994 Technology and the control of resources, in: Development and the Environmental Crisis – Red or Green Alternatives?, p.101-122.
- Patricia D. Park 2002 The exploration and exploitation of oil and gas in the marine environment, in *Energy Law and the Environment*. London: Taylor & Francis, p.133-151
- Wynne, Brian 1994 Scientific Knowledge and the Global Environment, in: edclift, Michal & Benton, Ted (ed.) Social Theory and the Global Environment, p.169-189.
- Patricia D. Park 2002 Nuclear energy and the environment, in *Energy Law and the Environment*. London: Taylor & Francis, p.152-172
- 楊致行 2000〈台灣科技島之環境因應〉，歐陽喬暉，2000 年民間環保政策白皮書，頁 97-122。
- Redclift, Michale 1994 Sustainable Development: Economics and the Environment, in: Redclift & Colin (ed.) Strategies for Sustainable Development, John Wiley & Sons, p. 17-34.
- Patricia D. Park 2002 The electricity supply industry, in *Energy Law and the Environment*. London: Taylor & Francis, p.173-185
- 許志義 1998〈台灣環境經濟問題之探討與展望〉，歐陽喬暉/黃勉善編著，新世紀的環境保護政策，台北：厚生基金會，頁 81-102。
- Redclift, Michale 1994 Political economy and the Environment, in: Development and the Environmental Crisis – Red or Green Alternatives?, p.5-19.
- Patricia D. Park 2002 Sustainable energy and climate change, in *Energy Law and the Environment*. London: Taylor & Francis, p.186-202
- 顧 洋 1998〈我國因應國際環境管理系統之現況及策略檢討〉，歐陽喬暉/黃勉善編著，新世紀的環境保護政策，台北：厚生基金會，頁 103-124。
- Dryzek, John S. 1997 Environmentally benign Growth: Sustainable Development, in: The Politics of the Earth – Environmental Discourses, Oxford University Press, p.123-136.
- Redclift, Michale 1994 Environmentalism and Development, in: Development and

	<p>the Environmental Crisis – Red or Green Alternatives?, p.39-49.</p> <p>Redclift, Michale 1994 Development and the Environment: a converging Discourse, in: Development and the Environmental Crisis – Red or Green Alternatives?, p.122-130.</p> <p>呂理德 2000 〈20世紀談灣環境變遷之經驗與回顧〉，歐陽喬暉，2000年民間環保政策白皮書，頁1-24。</p> <p>李永展/廖億美 1998 〈在自決與自救之後…誰來為台灣環境療傷止痛〉，歐陽喬暉/黃勉善編著，新世紀的環境保護政策，台北：厚生基金會，頁125-147。</p> <p>蕭新煌 2000 〈「環境」與「成長」的辯證關係：台灣民眾環境意識的變化(1986-1999)〉，歐陽喬暉，2000年民間環保政策白皮書，頁123-154。</p>			
評量方式	No.	項目	百分比(%)	說明
	1	期中報告	30	
	2	期末報告	50	
	3	課堂討論	20	
週次	單元主題			
第1週	課程簡介			
第2週	<p>取代工業現代化</p> <p>Ω Weale, Albert 1998 The Politics of Ecological Modernization, in: Dryzek, John S. &amp; Schlosberg, David (ed.) Debating the Earth – the environmental Politics Reader, p. 301-318.</p> <p>Ω Dryzek, John S. 1997 Industrial Society and Beyond: Ecological Modernization, in: The Politics of the Earth – Environmental Discourses, Oxford University Press, p.137-152.</p>			
第3週	<p>生態現代化只做為環境政治論述遊戲？</p> <p>Ω Hager, Maarten A. 1995 Ecological Modernization: Discourse and Institutional Change, in: The Politics of Environmental Discourse – Ecological Modernization and the Policy Process, p. 265-294.</p>			
第4週	<p>氣候變遷與風險社會</p> <p>Ω Bulkeley, H. (2001). Governing climate change: the politics of risk society. <i>Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers, Volume 26, Issue 4</i>, pages 430–447, December 2001, Royal Geographical Society, p.430-447.</p> <p>Ω Sheila Jasanoff. (2010), “Testing Time for Climate Science”, Science 328 (5979): pp. 695-696.</p>			
第5週	<p>風險、責任與全球暖化</p> <p>Chou, Kuei-tien. 2007. ‘Global climate change as globalizational risk society – glocalizational risk governance’. <i>Global Change and Sustainable</i></p>			

	<p><i>Development</i>, 1(1), pp. 81-89. (January 2007)</p> <p>Ω Cerutti,Furio.(2009).Defining Risk, Motivating Responsibility and Rethinking Global Warming. <i>Sci Eng Ethics</i> 16,pp 489–499. Springer, Netherlands.</p>
第 6 週	<p>脆弱性與氣候變遷</p> <p>Ω Carolyn Deere-Birkbeck, (2009) “Global governance in the context of climate change: the challenges of increasingly complex risk parameters”, <i>International Affairs</i> 85(6): 1173–1194.</p> <p>Ω Sheila Jasanoff. (2010), “Climate Science: The World Is Its July”, <i>Global Corruption Report</i>, Transparency International.</p>
第 7 週	<p>Ω Barbara L. Allen. (2007), “Environmental justice and expert knowledge in the wake of disaster”, <i>Social Studies of Science</i> 37(1): p.103-110.</p> <p>Ω Christopher R. Henke. (2007) “Situation Normal? Repairing a risky ecology”, <i>Social Studies of Science</i> 2007; 37(1): p.135-142.</p>
第 8 週	期中考
第 9 週	<p>Ω Roberts, J. Timmons and Parks, Bradley C.(2010).Ecologically Unequal Exchange, Ecological Debt, and Climate Justice. The History and Implications of Three Related Ideas for a New Social Movement.<i>International Journal of Comparative Sociology</i>50(3–4),pp385-409.Sage, London.</p>
第 10 週	學期報告撰寫大綱討論
第 11 週	<p>Ω Clark A. Miller. (2005), “New Civic Epistemologies of Quantification: Making Sense of Indicators of Local and Global Sustainability”, <i>Science, Technology, &amp; Human Values</i> 30(3): 403-432.</p>
第 12 週	<p>Ω Veerle Heyvaert. (2011) “Governing Climate Change: Towards a New Paradigm for Risk Regulation”, <i>The Modern Law Review</i> 74(6) : 817–844.</p>
第 13 週	<p>Ω Turnheim,Bruno and Tezcan, Mehmet Y.(2010).Complex Governance to Cope with Global Environmental Risk: An Assessment of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. <i>Sci Eng Ethics</i> ,(16),pp517–533.Springer, Netherlands.</p>
第 14 週	<p>Ω Ian Bailey and Sam Maresh (2009) “Scales and networks of neoliberal climate governance: the regulatory and territorial logics of European Union emissions trading”, <i>Royal Geographical Society</i> 34(4): 445–461.</p>
第 15 週	台灣氣候變遷運動個案討論
第 16 週	台灣氣候變遷運動個案討論與學期報告討論

第 17 週

台灣氣候變遷運動個案討論與學期報告討論