

專利資訊

Patent Information and Patent Searching

2009.2

授課教師：黃慕萱
上課時間：週二 2、3、4 節(9:10 am ~ 12:10 pm)
上課地點：圖書資訊學系編目室

課程進度

週次	日期	授課內容
1	2/17	課程介紹
2	2/24	「專利資訊」課程概觀
3	3/03	專利(智慧財產權)與各國專利制度
4	3/10	專利申請、審查與專利書目資料
5	3/17	專利全文說明書與專利分類
6	3/24	專利檢索策略與技巧
7	3/31	專利資料庫
8	4/07	專利分析工具
9	4/14	期中考
10	4/21	專利分析
11	4/28	專利計量
12	5/05	Reading Discussion
13	5/12	Reading Discussion
14	5/19	權利範圍分析
15	5/26	研究發展與專利管理
16	6/02	期末報告
17	6/09	期末報告
18	6/16	期末報告

評分方式

1. 作業 40%
2. 期中考 40%
3. Reading Discussion 20%

作業

1. 專題報告 **1 人為一組**。
2. **4 月 21 日前**交檢索(分析)題目。
3. **4 月 28 日前**確定檢索(分析)題目。
4. 報告內容：
 - a. 題目
 - b. 引言、背景說明
 - c. 檢索（含檢索策略、邏輯）
 - d. 分析（含專利經營圖分析、及部分技術圖分析）
 - e. 結論
 - f. 參考文獻
 - g. 心得陳述
5. **2009 年 6 月 16 日**交完整報告。

教科書

陳達仁與黃慕萱著(民 98)。專利資訊檢索、分析與策略。台北市：華泰。

相關參考資源

1. 瑞維特(Rivette, Kevin G.)與克萊(David Kline)著(民 89)。閣樓上的林布蘭(林柳君譯)。台北市：經典傳訊。
2. 經濟部智慧財產局(民 94)。認識專利。台北市：編者。上網日期：民 98 年 2 月 13 日。取自：http://www.tipo.gov.tw/ch/MultiMedia_FileDownload.ashx?guid=d8dd17c6-2bdd-4eb7-9fae-f1d3765b638d。
3. 劉尚志(民 89)。專利分析與資料檢索。專利分析及專利運用人才基礎培訓班授課講義。台北市：行政院國科會。
4. 經濟部智慧財產局。專利 Q & A。上網日期：民 98 年 2 月 13 日。取自：<http://www.tipo.gov.tw/ch/NodeTree.aspx?path=2801>。
5. 劭宇奇(民 84)。產業升級的利器-專利地圖。電腦與通訊，37，頁 60-68。
6. 林大器(民 87)。建立更有效的專利管理制度。智慧財產權季刊，19，季刊資

料庫，頁 1-4。

7. 林聖富(民 85)。專利資訊和專利檢索。智慧財產權管理，10，頁 15-17。
8. 祁明輝(民 88)。專利於產品研發所扮演之角色。智慧財產權，5，頁 39-45。
9. 金麗萍(民 89a)。2100 件專利，戰勝商場無往不利：科技首富郭台銘養了 200 隻法務老虎。商業周刊，640，頁 72-76。
10. 金麗萍(民 89b)。台灣科技業決戰「智慧財」：產業新革命，知識出頭天。商業周刊，640，頁 70。
11. 夏文龍(民 87)。專利對產業界的價值。智慧財產權管理，16，頁 20-21。
12. 張戎誼、張殿文、盧智芳（民 94）。五千億傳奇-郭台銘的鴻海帝國。臺北市：天下雜誌公司。

美國專利商標局 <http://www.uspto.gov>

中華民國智慧財產局 <http://www.moeaipo.gov.tw/>

香港知識產權署 <http://www.ipd.gov.hk/>

中國知識產權局 <http://www.sipo.gov.cn/>

日本特許廳 <http://www.jpo.go.jp/>

Delphion <http://www.delphion.com>

中華民國專利公報資料庫(APIPA) <http://twp.apipa.org.tw/>

各專利商標法律事務所 <http://dir.yam.com/com/service/lawyer/patent/>

⋮

一般專利資訊

- Adams, S. R. (2006). Information sources in patents. München, [Ger.] : K.G. Saur.
- Akers, L. (2003). The future of patent information: A user with a view. World Patent Information, 25(4), 303-312.
- Blackman, M. (2004). World patent information: The first 25 years. World Patent Information, 26(1), 13-24.
- Brown, W.H. (1995). Trends in Patent Renewals at the United States Patent and Trademark Office. World Patent Information, 17(4), 225-234.
- Clark, C. V. (1976). Obsolescence of the patent literature. Journal of Documentation, 32(1), 32-52.
- Criscuolo, P. (2006). The "home advantage" effect and patent families: A comparison of OECD triadic patents, the USPTO and the EPO. Scientometrics, 66(1), 23-41.
- Edfjall, C. (2006). Patent information from the EPO in 2005: Recent developments and future plans. World Patent Information, 28(2), 112-116.
- Frackenpohl, G. (2002). PATCOM- the European Commercial Patent Service Group. World Patent Information, 24(3), 225-227.
- Ganguli, P. (2000). Intellectual Property Rights. Imperatives for the Knowledge

- Industry. World Patent Information, 22(3), 167-175.
- Herce, J.L. (2001). WIPO Patent Information Service for Development Countries. World Patent Information, 23(3), 295-308.
- Knnobel, M. (2001). German Patent Bulletin in Assistant Mode on the WWW- the First Year's Experience . World Patent Information, 23(1), 79-81.
- Michel, J. (2006). Considerations, challenges and methodologies for implementing best practices in patent office and like patent information departments. World Patent Information, 28(2), 132-135.
- Paci, R., Sassu, A., & Usai, S. (1997). International patenting and national technological specialization. Technovation, 17(1), 25-38.
- Pilch, W. & Shalloe, D. (2005). Patent information in a changing world: Perspectives from a major patent office. World Patent Information, 27(4), 287-291.
- Pritchard, A. (1969). Statistical bibliography or bibliometrics? Journal of Documentation, 25(4), 348-349 .
- Radack, V. (1995). Reading and understanding patent claims. Journal of Metals, 47(11). 69.
- Simmons, E. S. (2005). Trends disrupted: patent information in an era of change. World Patent Information, 27(4), 292-301.
- Stock, M. & Stock, W. G. (2006). Intellectual property information: A comparative analysis of main information providers. Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology, 57(13), 1794-1803.
- Suhr, C. (2004). A change of paradigms: Looking back to the pioneer years of patent information management (1960-1990). World Patent Information, 26(1), 41-43.
- Tatsuya, K. (2006). Patent information research for the negotiation of licensing. Journal of Information Processing and Management, 49(7), 371-378.
- van Dulken, S. (2000). The Future of Patent Information. World Patent Information, 22(4), 273-275.
- 陳達仁、黃慕萱（民91）。專利資訊與專利檢索。台北市：文華。

專利分類

- Adams, S. (2001). Comparing the IPC and the US classification systems for the patent searcher. World Patent Information, 23(1), 15-23.
- Falasco, L. (2002). United States Patent Classification: System Organization. World Patent Information, 24(2), 111-117.
- Irene Schellner (2002). Japanese File Index classification and F-terms. World Patent Information, 24(3), 197-201.
- Leydesdorff, L. (2008). "Patent classifications as indicators of intellectual

organization." Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology, 59(10), 1582-1597.

Makarov, M. (2006). The eighth edition of the IPC. World Patent Information, 28(2), 122-126.

專利與科學連結

Allen, J., & Oppenheim, C. (1979). The overlap of US and Canadian patents literature with journal literature. World Patent Information, 1(2), 77-80.

Bhattacharya, S., Kretschmer, H. & Meyer, M. (2003). Characterizing intellectual spaces between science and technology. Scientometrics, 58(2), 369-390.

Callaert, J., Van Looy, B., Verbeek, A., Debackere, K. & Thus, B. (2006). Traces of prior art: an analysis of non-patent references found in patent documents. Scientometrics, 69(1), 3-20.

Collins, P. & Wyatt, S. (1988). Citations in patents to the basic research literatures. Research Policy, 17, 65-74.

Eisenschitz, T. S., Lazard, A. M., & Willey, C. J. (1986). Patent groups and their relationship with journal literature. Journal of Information Science, 12(1-2), 53-58.

Glanzel, W. & Meyer, M. (2003). Patents cited in the scientific literature: an exploratory study of "reverse" citation relations. Scientometrics, 58(2), 415-428.

Gupta, V. K. (2006). References to literature in patent documents: A case study of CSIR in India. Scientometrics, 68(1), 29-40.

Han, Y. J. (2007). Measuring industrial knowledge stocks with patents and papers. Journal of Informetrics, 1(4): 269-276.

Hirokyu, T., Takayuki, H., Yasuhiro, Y. & Masayuki, K. (2006). A bibliometric analysis of scientific literatures cited by influential patents. Journal of Information Processing and Management, 49(1), 2-10.

Meyer, M. (2000). Does science push technology? Patents citing scientific literature. Research Policy, 29(3): 409-434.

Meyer, M. (2000b). What is special about patent citations? Differences between scientific and patent citations. Scientometrics, 49(1), 93-123.

Meyer, M. (2000c). Patent citations in a novel field of technology - What can they tell about interactions between emerging communities of science and

- technology? Scientometrics, 48(2) 151-78.
- Meyer, M. (2005). Are patenting scientists the better scholars? An exploratory comparison of inventor-authors with their non-inventing peers in nano-science and technology. Research Policy, 35(10), 1646-1662.
- Meyer, M. (2006). Knowledge integrators or weak links? An exploratory comparison of patenting researchers with their non-inventing peers in nano-science and technology. Scientometrics, 68(3), 545-560.
- Narin, F. & Noma, E. (1985). Is technology becoming science? Scientometrics, 7(3-6), 369-381.
- Narin, F., & Olivastro, D. (1998). Linkage between patents and papers: An interim EPO/US comparison. Scientometrics, 41(1-2), 51-59.
- Tijssen, R.J.W., Buter, R.K., & van Leeuwen, T. N. (2000). Technological relevance of science: An assessment of citation linkages between patents and research papers. Scientometrics, 47(2), 389-412.

專利引用

- Albert, M.B., Avery, D., Narin, F., & Mcallister, P. (1991). Direct Validation of Citation Counts as Indicators of Industrially Important Patents. Research Policy, 20(3), 251-259.
- Atallah, G. & Rodriguez, G. (2006). Indirect patent citations. Scientometrics, 67(3), 437-465.
- Criscuolo, P. and B. Verspagen (2008). Does it matter where patent citations come from? Inventor vs. examiner citations in European patents. Research Policy, 37(10): 1892-1908.
- Ellis, P., Hepburn, G. & Oppenheim, C. (1978). Studies on patent citation networks. Journal of Documentation, 34(1), 12-20.
- Guan, J. C., & Gao, X. (2009). Exploring the h-Index at Patent Level. Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology, 60(1): 35-40.
- Harhoff, D., F. Narin, et al. (1999). Citation frequency and the value of patented inventions. Review of Economics and Statistics, 81(3): 511-515.
- Harhoff, D., Narin, F., Scherer, F.M., & VOPEL, K. (1999). Citation Frequency and the Value of Patented Inventions. The Review of Economics and Statistics, 81(3), 511-515.
- Karki, M.M.S. (1997). Patent citation analysis: A policy analysis tool. World Patent

Information, 33(4), 269-272.

Lai, K. K., M. L. Lin, et al. (2007). The study of taxonomy and evolutionary trends of relevant literatures on patent analysis. Picmet '07: Portland International Center for Management of Engineering and Technology, vols 1-6, Proceedings - Management of Converging Technologies, 22-30.

Narin, F., & Olivastro, D. (1993). Patent citation cycles. Library Trends, 41(4), 700-709.

Oda, T., K. Gemba, et al. (2008). "Enhanced co-citation analysis using frameworks." Technology Analysis & Strategic Management, 20(2): 217-229.

Wu, S. C. and H. Y. Chen (2007). Recognizing the core technology capabilities for companies through patent co-citations. 2007 IEEE International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management, 1-4, 2081-2085. <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/ielx5/4419130/4419131/04419558.pdf?arnumber=4419558>

專利分析

Albert, M. B. (2000). The New Innovators: Global Patenting Trends in Five Sectors: DIANE Publishing.(Book)

Almeida, P. and B. Kogut (1997). The exploration of technological diversity and the geographic localization of innovation. Small Business Economics, 9(1), 21-31.

Azagra-Caro, J. M., Yegros-Yegros, A. & Archontakis, F. (2006). What do university patent routes indicate at regional level? Scientometrics, 66(1), 219-230.

Baldini, N. (2006). The act on inventions at public research institutions: Danish universities' patenting activity. Scientometrics, 69(2), 387-407.

Bigwood, M.P. (1997). Patent trend analysis: Incorporate current year data. World Patent Information, 19(4), 243-249.

Breitzman, A. F., & Moge, M. E. (2002). The Many Applications of Patent Analysis. Journal of Information Science, 28(3), 187-205

Breitzman, A. F., Thomas, P., & Cheney, M. (2002). Technological Powerhouse or Diluted Competence: Techniques for Assessing Mergers via Patent Analysis. R&D Management, 32(1), 1-10.

Chen, Dar-Zen, Chang, Han-Wen, Huang, Mu-Hsuan & Fu, Feng-Cheng (2005). Core technologies and key industries in Taiwan from 1978 to 2002: A perspective from patent analysis. Scientometrics, 64(1), 31-53.

Dou, Henri Jean-Marie (2004). Benchmarking RandD and companies through patent

- analysis using free databases and special software: a tool to improve innovative thinking. World Patent Information, 26(4), 297-309.
- Du Plessis, M., Meyer, M., Tukeva, T. & Utecht, Jan-Timm (2005). Inventive output of academic research: a comparison of two science systems. Scientometrics, 63(1), 145-161.
- Furman, J. L., Porter, M. E., & Stern, S. (2000). Understanding the Drivers of National Innovative Capacity. Academy of Management Best Papers in Proceedings. <http://people.bu.edu/furman/html/research/files/Innovative%20Capacity%20-%20Best%20Paper%20Proceedings.pdf>
- Furukawa, R. & Goto, A. (2006). Core scientists and innovation in Japanese electronics companies. Scientometrics, 68(2), 227-240.
- Garfield, E. (1980). Bradford's law and related statistical patterns. *Current Contents*, 19, 5-12. Retrieved Nov 27, 2008. from <http://www.garfield.library.upenn.edu/essays/v4p476y1979-80.pdf>
- Garg, K. C. & Padhi, P. (1998). Scientometric study of laser patent literature. Scientometrics, 43(3), 443-454.
- Guan, J. C. and Y. He (2007). Patent-bibliometric analysis on the Chinese science - technology linkages. Scientometrics, 72(3): 403-425.
- Hassan, E. (2005). The evolution of the knowledge structure of fuel cells. Scientometrics, 62(2), 223-238.
- Huang, Mu-Hsuan, Chen, Dar-Zen, & Chiang, Li-Yun. (2003). Constructing a patent citation map using bibliographic coupling: A study of Taiwan's high-tech companies. Scientometrics, 58(3), 489-506.
- Iversen, E.J. (2000). An excursion into the patent-bibliometrics of Norwegian patenting. Scientometrics, 49(1), 63-80.
- Kessler, M. (1963). Bibliographic coupling between scientific papers. American Documentation, 14(1), 10-25.
- Kortum, S. and J. Lerner (1999). What is behind the recent surge in patenting? Research Policy, 28(1): 1-22.
- Lai, K., Lin, M., & Chang, S. (2006). Research trends on patent analysis: an analysis of the research published in library's electronic databases. The Journal of American Academy of Business, 8(2), 248-254.
- Lerner, J. (1994). The Importance of Patent Scope : an Empirical-Analysis. Rand

- Journal of Economics, 25(2), 319-333.
- Lo, S. C. (2008). Patent coupling analysis of primary organizations in genetic engineering research. Scientometrics, 74(1), 143-151.
- Meyer, M. (2005). Independent inventors and public support measures: insights from 33 case studies in Finland. World Patent Information, 27(2), 113-123.
- Meyer, M. S. (2001). Patent citation analysis in a novel field of technology: an exploration of nano science and nano technology. Scientometrics, 51(1), 163-83.
- Narin, F. (1994). Patent bibliometrics. Scientometrics, 30(1), 147-155.
- Narin, F., & Hamilton, K. S. (1996). Bibliometric performance measures. Scientometrics, 36(3), 293-310.
- Narin, F., Olivastro, D., & Stevens, K.A. (1994). Bibliometric/theory practice and problems. Evaluation Review, 18(1), 65-76.
- Noyons, E. C. M., Luwel, M., & Moed, H. F. (1998). Assessment of Flemish R&D in the field of information technology - A bibliometric evaluation based on publication and patent data, combined with OECD research input statistics. Research Policy, 27(3), 285-300.
- Park, K. H., & Lee, K. (2006). Linking the technological regime to the technological catch-up: analyzing Korea and Taiwan using the US patent data. Industrial and Corporate Change, 15(4), 715-753.
- Sternitzke, C., Bartkowski, A., & Schramm, R. (2008). Visualizing patent statistics by means of social network analysis tools. World Patent Information, 30(2), 115-131.
- Tong, X. S., & Frame, J. D. (1994). Measuring National Technological Performance with Patent Claims Data. Research Policy, 23(2), 133-141.
- Trippe, A. J. (2003). Patinformatics: tasks to tools. World Patent Information, 25(3), 211-221.
- Verbeek, A. & Debackere, K. (2006). Patent evolution in relation to public/private R&D investment and corporate profitability: evidence from the United States: a compilation of relationships based on long run time series techniques. Scientometrics, 66(2), 279-294.

專利與知識（技術）擴散

- Acs, Z. J., Anselin, L., & Varga, A. (2002). Patents and Innovation Counts as

- Measures of Regional Production of New Knowledge. Research Policy, 31(7), 1069-1085.
- Albert, A. & Plaza, L. M. (2004). The transfer of knowledge from the Spanish public RandD system to the productive sectors in the field of biotechnology. Scientometrics, 59(1), 3-14.
- Alcacer, J. and M. Gittelman (2006). Patent citations as a measure of knowledge flows: The influence of examiner citations. Review of Economics and Statistics, 88(4): 774-779.
- Almeida P. (1996). Knowledge sourcing by foreign multinational: Patent citation analysis in the U.S. semiconductor industry. Strategic Management Journal, 17, 155-165.
- Archibugi, D., & Pianta, M. (1996). Measuring technological change through patents and innovation surveys. Technovation, 16(9), 451-468.
- Comanor, W. S. & Scherer, F. M.. (1969). Patent statistics as a measure of technical change. Journal of Political Economy, 77(3), 392-398.
- Debackere, K., Luwel, M. & Verbeek, A. (2003). Science cited in patents: a geographic "flow" analysis of bibliographic citation patterns in patents. Scientometrics, 58(2), 241-263.
- Grupp, H., & Lacasa, I. D. & Schmoch, U. (2003). Tracing technological change over long periods in Germany in chemicals using patent statistics. Scientometrics, 57(2), 175-195.
- Gupta, V.K. (1999). Technological trends in the area of fullerenes using bibliometric analysis of patents. Scientometrics, 44(1), 17-31.
- Lai, K. K., S. M. Chang, et al. (2007). Using patent citation to explore knowledge flow between different industries. Picmet '07: Portland International Center for Management of Engineering and Technology, vols 1-6, Proceedings - Management of Converging Technologies, 1777-1783.
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?arnumber=4349503&isnumber=4349301>
- Meyer, M. (2002). Tracing knowledge flows in innovation systems. Scientometrics, 54(2), 193-212.
- Narin, F., K. S. Hamilton, et al. (1997). The increasing linkage between US technology and public science. Research Policy, 26(3): 317-330.
- Schmoch, U. (1993). Tracing the knowledge transfer from science to technology as

- reflected in patent indicators. Scientometrics, 26(1), 193-211.
- Schmoch, U. (1997). Indicators and the relations between science and technology. Scientometrics, 38(1), 103-116.
- Shin, J., W. Lee, et al. (2006). On the benchmarking method of patent-based knowledge flow structure: Comparison of Korea and Taiwan with USA. Scientometrics, 69(3): 551-574.
- Sorenson, O., J. W. Rivkin, et al. (2006). Complexity, networks and knowledge flow. Research Policy, 35(7): 994-1017.
- Thompson, P. (2006). Patent Citations and the Geography of Knowledge Spillovers: Evidence from Inventor-and Examiner-Added Citations. Review of Economics and Statistics, 88(2), 383-388.

專利研究指標

- Arinova, D., McAleer, M. & Slottje, D. (2005). Antitrust environment and innovation. Scientometrics, 64(3), 301-311.
- Banerjee, P., Gupta, B. M., & Garg, K.C. (2000). Patent statistics as indicators of competition: An analysis of patenting in biotechnology. Scientometrics, 47(1), 95-116.
- Basberg, B. L. (1982). Technological change in the Norwegian whaling industry: A case study in the use of patent statistics as a technology indicator. Research Policy, 11(3), 163-171.
- Bhattacharya, S. & Meyer, M. (2003). Large firms and the science-technology interface: patents, patent citations, and scientific output of multinational corporations in thin films. Scientometrics, 58(2), 265-279.
- Castells, P. E., Salvador, M. R., & Bosch, R. M. (2000). Technology Mapping, Business Strategy and Market Opportunities. Competitive Intelligence Review, 11(1), 46-57.
- Chakrabarti, A. K. (1989). Technology Indicators: Conceptual Issues and Measurement Problems. Journal of Engineering and Technology Management, 6(2), 99-116.
- Chen, D. Z., Lin, W. Y. C., & Huang, M. H. (2007) Using essential patent index and essential technological strength to evaluate industrial technological innovation competitiveness. Scientometrics, 71(1), 101-116.
- Courtial, J. P., Callon, M., & Sigogneau, A. (1993). The Use of Patent Titles for

- Identifying the Topics of Invention and Forecasting Trends. Scientometrics, 26(2), 231-242.
- Ernst, H. (1998). Patent portfolios for strategic R&D planning. Journal of Engineering and Technology Management, 15(4), 279-308.
- Ernst, H. (2003). Patent information for strategic technology management. World Patent Information, 25(3), 233-242.
- Faust, K. (1990). Early identification of technological advances on the basis of patent data. Scientometrics, 19(5-6), 473-480.
- Frame, J. (1991). Modeling national technological capacity with patent indicators. Scientometrics, 22(3), 327-339.
- Ginarte, J. C. and W. G. Park (1997). "Determinants of patent rights: A cross-national study." Research Policy, 26(3): 283-301.
- Kingston, W. & Scally, K. (2006). Patents and the measurement of international competitiveness : New data on the use of patents by universities, small firms and individual inventors. Heltenham, UK; Northampton, MA: Edward Elgar. (Book)
- Koichiro, K., Kazuyoshi, I., Shigetoshi, S. (2006). Research of invention evaluation for patent application decision making support. Journal of Information Processing and Management, 49(3), 105-112.
- Liu, S., & Shyu, J. (1997). Strategic planning for technology development with patent analysis. International Journal of Technology Management, 13(5-6), 661-680.
- Marinova, D. & McAleer, M. (2002). Trends and volatility in Japanese patenting in the USA: an analysis of the electronics and transport industries. Scientometrics, 55(2), 171-187.
- McAleer, M. & Slottje, D. (2005). A new measure of innovation: The patent success ratio. Scientometrics, 63(3), 421-429.
- Mogee, M. E. (1991). Using patent data for technology analysis and planning. Research-Technology Management, 34(4), 43-49.
- Narin, F. (1995). Patents as indicators for the evaluation of industrial research output. Scientometrics, 34(3), 489-496.
- Narin, F., Noma, E. & Perry, R. (1987). Patents as indicators of corporate technological strength. Research Policy, 16(2-4), 143-155.

- Pavitt, K. (1982). R&D, patenting, and innovative activities: A statistical exploration. Research Policy, 11(1), 33-51.
- Pavitt, K. (1985). Patent statistics as indicators of innovative activities: Possibilities and problems. Scientometrics, 7(1-2), 77-99.
- Ramani, S.V., & de Looze, M.A. (2000). A note on using patent statistics to obtain competition indicators. Scientometrics, 49(3), 511-515.
- Rozhkov, S., & Ivantcheva, L. (1998, September-December). Scientometrical indicators of national science and technology policy based on patent statistics data. World Patent Information, 20(3/4), 161-166.
- Sen, S. K. & Sharma, H. P. (2006). A note on growth of superconductivity patents with two new indicators. Information Processing & Management, 42(6), 1643-1651.
- Silverberg, G. and B. Verspagen (2007). The size distribution of innovations revisited: An application of extreme value statistics to citation and value measures of patent significance. Journal of Econometrics 139(2): 318-339.
- Tamada, S., Najto, Y., Kodama, F., Gemba, K. & Suzuki, J. (2006). Significant difference of dependence upon scientific knowledge among different technologies. Scientometrics, 68(2), 289-302.
- Vinkler, P. (1993). Percentage patent representation (PPR) bilateral patent balance (BPB) and patent dominance (PD) indicators characterizing international patenting relations. Scientometrics, 27(1), 97-103.
- 陳達仁、黃慕萱、楊牧民（民 93）。從美國專利看臺灣企業科技創新競爭力。 政大智慧財產評論，2（2），頁 1-24。
- 黃慕萱、陳達仁、張瀚文（民 92）。從專利計量的觀點評估國家科技競爭力。 中國圖書館學會會報，70，頁 18-30。